

# UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
10/712,876	11/12/2003	Young Hoon Park	YPL-0068	2313	
23413	7590 03/10/2006		EXAMINER		
CANTOR COLBURN, LLP			CHEN, BRET P		
	ROAD SOUTH LD, CT 06002		ART UNIT PAPER NUMBER		
	_,		1762		
			DATE MAILED: 03/10/2000	5	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

				iA
		Application No.	Applicant(s)	<b>_</b>
		10/712,876	PARK ET AL.	
	Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit	
		B. Chen	1762	
Period fo	The MAILING DATE of this communication or Reply	appears on the cover sheet w	ith the correspondence address	
WHI( - Exte after - If NO - Failt Any	ORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR RECHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING insions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CF SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication of period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period for reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by streply received by the Office later than three months after the med patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	G DATE OF THIS COMMUNI R 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a . riod will apply and will expire SIX (6) MOI atute, cause the application to become A	CATION. reply be timely filed NTHS from the mailing date of this communic BANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).	
Status				
'=	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	This action is non-final.	ters, prosecution as to the meri	ts is
	closed in accordance with the practice und	er <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D	). 11, 453 O.G. 213.	
Disposit	ion of Claims			
5)□ 6)⊠ 7)□ 8)□ Applicat	Claim(s) 1-17 is/are pending in the applicate 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are with Claim(s) is/are allowed.  Claim(s) 1-17 is/are rejected.  Claim(s) is/are objected to.  Claim(s) are subject to restriction and ion Papers	drawn from consideration.		·
	The specification is objected to by the Exam			
10)	The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) :	, , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , ,	•	
	Applicant may not request that any objection to			
11)[	Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the cor The oath or declaration is objected to by the			
	ınder 35 U.S.C. § 119			
a)l	Acknowledgment is made of a claim for fore  All b) Some * c) None of:  1. Certified copies of the priority docum 2. Certified copies of the priority docum 3. Copies of the certified copies of the papplication from the International Bur See the attached detailed Office action for a	ents have been received. ents have been received in A priority documents have been reau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	pplication No received in this National Stage	<b>}</b>
Attachmen		_		
	e of References Cited (PTO-892) e of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)	4) Interview S	Summary (PTO-413) s)/Mail Date	
3) 🔲 Inforr	nation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/r No(s)/Mail Date		nformal Patent Application (PTO-152)	

Art Unit: 1762

#### **DETAILED ACTION**

Claims 1-17 are pending in this application.

### **Priority**

Receipt is acknowledged of papers submitted under 35 U.S.C. 119(a)-(d), which papers have been placed of record in the file.

# Specification

Applicant is reminded of the proper language and format for an abstract of the disclosure.

The abstract should be in narrative form and generally limited to a single paragraph on a separate sheet within the range of 50 to 150 words. It is important that the abstract not exceed 150 words in length since the space provided for the abstract on the computer tape used by the printer is limited. The form and legal phraseology often used in patent claims, such as "means" and "said," should be avoided. The abstract should describe the disclosure sufficiently to assist readers in deciding whether there is a need for consulting the full patent text for details.

The language should be clear and concise and should not repeat information given in the title. It should avoid using phrases which can be implied, such as, "The disclosure concerns," "The disclosure defined by this invention," "The disclosure describes," etc.

It is noted that the abstract begins with "Provided is". The examiner suggests its deletion.

# Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112

The following is a quotation of the second paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:

The specification shall conclude with one or more claims particularly pointing out and distinctly claiming the subject matter which the applicant regards as his invention.

Claims 1-17 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant regards as the invention.

Application/Control Number: 10/712,876 Page 3

Art Unit: 1762

In claim 1, the step indicators such as (S100) is vague and confusing. The examiner suggests its deletion. The same issue applies to claims 2, 5, 8, 11, 15.

In claim 1 line 25, the term "N times" is deemed vague and confusing. Clarification and appropriate amendments are requested. The same issue applies to line 37 with respect to "M times".

# Allowable Subject Matter

Claims 1-17 are allowed over the prior art. The use of a reactor chamber with a reactor block and a top lid and the use of a gas manifold in a vapor deposition process to deposit hafnium materials is taught by Ronsse et al. (2003/0101938) and Ma et al. (6,348,373). The use of specific organic materials as precursors is also well known as indicated by Horikawa (6,780,476) and Wallace et al. (6,784,507). However, the prior art references fail to teach the specific precursors in the specific order to form hafnium silicate (claim 1) or hafnium oxide (claim 8).

Art Unit: 1762

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to B. Chen whose telephone number is (571) 272-1417. The examiner can normally be reached on 7:30am - 4:00pm.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Timothy Meeks can be reached on (571) 272-1423. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Bc 3/4/06

BRET CHEN
PRIMARY EXAMINER